

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>
	08/836,455	CHATTERJEE ET AL.
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>
	Stephen L. Rawlings, Ph.D.	1642

**All Participants:**

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(1) Stephen L. Rawlings, Ph.D.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Jill Jacobson, Ph.D.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 8 February 2005

**Time:** 2:30 p.m.

**Type of Interview:**

- Telephonic  
 Video Conference  
 Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant  Applicant's representative)

**Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:**  Yes  No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

*6,11,16-19,38,59,62,63,65,69-81 and 89-98 and any claims withdrawn from consideration*

Prior art documents discussed:

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*The Examiner telephoned Dr. Jacobson to discuss an examiner's amendment that would place this application in condition for allowance. Dr. Jacobson authorized entry of the proposed examiner's amendment.*

**Part III.**

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



LARRY R. HELMS, PH.D  
 PRIMARY EXAMINER

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)